

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,090		01/16/2002	Jung Shen Lien	MR1197-505	5 2466	
4586	7590	06/09/2004		EXAMINER		
		EIN & LEE	COLE, ELIZABETH M			
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUI ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043		TE 101	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ŕ			1771		

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(			
		10/046,090	LIEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elizabeth M. Cole	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	; <del>-</del>			
THE N - Exten after S - If the   - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, aply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely, m the mailing date of this commun NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A	<u>flarch 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 18-34 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>18-34</u> is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
<del>-</del>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
•	on Papers	·					
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	т.					
10)∐ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	e			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		ication)			
•	The translation of the foreign language pro	•		iodiiony.			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment	•						
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152				
S. Patent and Tra		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 0602				

Application/Control Number: 10/046,090 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. Claims 18-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "high vacuum" in claim 18 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "high vacuum" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is not clear what values would be considered "high vacuum". Also, it is noted that claims 19-26, 28-29, 32-33 refer back to claim 1 rather than claim 18 which is obviously what was intended.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 18-19, 23-24, 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schilling et al, WO 98//10940, (equivalent to U.S. Patent No. 6,284,679). Schilling et al discloses a method of forming a metallized fabric comprising the steps of providing a mesh fabric which would inherently have a plurality of flow paths and exciting a flow or metal particles along the flow path to accumulate a metallic structure of the fabric, wherein the metal particles are excited by vacuum plasma spraying. See col. 2, lines 11-49. The cloth may comprise polymeric fibers. See col. 4, lines 1-10. The metal particles may comprise gold, silver, copper, nickel, steel, aluminum particles, either alone or in combination. Multiple layers may be applied. See col. 4, lines 15-55.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,090

Art Unit: 1771

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 18-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schilling et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,284,679 in view of Feldman, U.S. Patent No. 5,974,784. Schilling et al discloses a method of metallizing a mesh fabric as set forth above. Schilling et al differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose employing multiple layer and does not disclose precoating the fabric with a polymer in order to enhance the adhesion of the particles. Feldman discloses a three layer fabric which may be coated with a thermoplastic resin and which may be plated by metallic particles while under vacuum. See col. 2, line 22- col. 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed a multiple layer as taught by Feldman because Feldman teaches that such fabrics are suitable for metallizing. It further would have been obvious to have employed a polymeric pre coating as taught by Feldman motivated by the teaching of Feldman that this enhances the adhesion of the particles to the fabric. With regard to the particular pressure employed, it would have been within the ability of the skilled artisan to select the particular pressure under which the vacuum plating was performed.
- 2. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schilling in view of Feldman as applied to claims 18-32 above, and further in view of Bieler et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,660,923. Neither Feldman nor Schilling discloses employing metal containing powders with

Art Unit: 1771

ceramic powders. Bieler et al teaches at coll. 5, lines 4-14, that both metal powders, ceramic powders and alloy powders may be employed for applying a coating to fibers by vacuum plating. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have employed alloy or ceramic powders in Schilling. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ such powders by the teaching of Bieler that such powders are art recognized equivalents for this purpose.

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Art Unit: 1771

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (703) 872-9306.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771

e.m.c